





Batched solvers and Preconditioners in Ginkgo

Pratik Nayak, Isha Aggarwal, Aditya Kashi and Hartwig Anzt

SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering, Amsterdam 2023



Outline

- Motivation
- Design philosophy and choices
- Implementation
- Applications and performance analysis
- Adding in the preconditioners



What are batched methods ?

- Batching: <u>Independent</u> computations that can be <u>scheduled in parallel</u>.
- Are highly suitable for GPUs and processors with many parallel computing units.
- Can maximize utilization of the GPU, due to excellent scalability.





What are batched methods ?

- Related work:
 - Usage in block-Jacobi preconditioners (Anzt. et.al PMAM 17)
 - Dense triangular solves on GPUs, DGETRF (Dong et.al 2014)
 - Tri-/Penta- diagonal solvers on GPUs (Carroll et.al 2021, Gloster et.al 2019, Valero-Lara et.al 2018)
 - Batched BLAS interface (Dongarra et.al 2016)



Why batched iterative methods ?

- Most current research and software focuses on dense and direct solvers.
- For medium sized problems, dense and/or direct methods run into memory issues.
- Very high accuracy sometimes not required. Iterative methods provide <u>tunable accuracy</u>.
- The applications have matrices with <u>relatively low condition numbers</u>.



Opportunities

- <u>Shared sparsity pattern</u> can allow for optimized storage and caching matrices in constant memory.
- Linear system solution inside a non-linear loop can make use of <u>better</u> <u>initial guesses</u> from previous iterations.
- <u>Independent convergence and stopping</u> for each individual linear system.



Ginkgo's batched interface: Objectives

- Store <u>one copy of the sparsity pattern</u> and store the different values.
- Provide different Sparse matrix formats to support different sparsity patterns.
- Provide a <u>wide variety of solvers</u> for both symmetric and non-symmetric problems.
- <u>Maximize cache usage</u> and fuse kernels to <u>reduce kernel launch</u> <u>latency</u>.



https://github.com/ginkgo-project/ginkgo/tree/batch-develop

FiNE – Fixed point Numerics for Exascale SCC – Steinbuch Centre for Computing



Ginkgo's batched interface: Design

Design philosophy:

- <u>Template</u> the global solver apply kernel on logger, stopping criterion, matrix format and preconditioner type.
- <u>Auto-configure shared memory</u> based on problem size.
- Solve one linear system on one thread block.

Functionality:

- Sparse matrix formats: BatchCsr and BatchEll
- Iterative solvers: BatchBicgstab, BatchGmres, BatchCg, BatchIdr and BatchRichardson
- Preconditioners:

BatchBlockJacobi, BatchILU, BatchISAI, BatchParILU



Multi-level dispatch mechanism

- Single device kernel call, but selection of different parameters through a multi-level dispatch.
- Allows for optimal use of caches and compute resources without launch overheads.





Automatic shared memory config

- Red objects: Intermediate vectors in SpMV: High priority
- Blue objects: Other vectors: Low priority
- Green objects: Constant matrices or vectors (In constant cache)

```
FiNE - Fixed point Numerics for Exascale
SCC - Steinbuch Centre for Computing
```

```
r \leftarrow b - Ax, \hat{r} \leftarrow r, p \leftarrow 0, v \leftarrow 0
\rho' \leftarrow 1, \omega \leftarrow 1, \alpha \leftarrow 1
for i < N_{iter} do
          if \|\boldsymbol{r}\| < \tau then
                   Break
          end if
          \rho \leftarrow \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'
          \beta \leftarrow \frac{\rho'\alpha}{\rho\omega}
          \boldsymbol{p} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{r} + \beta(\boldsymbol{p} - \omega \boldsymbol{v})
          \hat{p} \leftarrow \text{PRECOND}(p)
          v \leftarrow A\hat{p}
          \alpha \leftarrow
          s \leftarrow r - \alpha v
          if \|\boldsymbol{s}\| < \tau then
                   \boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} + \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}
                   Break
          end if
          \hat{s} \leftarrow \text{PRECOND}(s)
          t \leftarrow A\hat{s}
          \omega \leftarrow \frac{t \cdot s}{t \cdot t}
          \boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} + \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} + \omega \hat{\boldsymbol{s}}
          r \leftarrow s - \omega t
          \rho' \leftarrow \rho
end for
```



Application: Combustion simulations

- PeleLM is a parallel, adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) code that solves the Navier-Stokes equations with in the low Mach number regime with the chemical reaction mechanisms.
- Interfaced through the SUNDIALS library.
- <u>https://amrex-combustion.githu</u>
 <u>b.io/PeleLM/overview.html</u>

Problem Size		Non-zeros (A)	Non-zeros (L+U)		
dodecane_lu	54	2,332 (80%)	2,754 (94%)		
drm19	22	438 (90%)	442 (91%)		
gri12	33	978 (90%)	1,018 (93%)		
gri30	54	2,560 (88%)	2,860 (98%)		
isooctane	144	6,135 (30%)	20,307 (98%)		
lidryer	10	91 (91%)	91 (91%)		





Application: Combustion simulations

- cuBLAS Batched dense direct solver can be out-performed even for small problems.
- GMRES can perform really well with very low iteration counts.
- We also support scaling (S₁A S₂), to allow for better conditioned matrices.





Application: Fusion simulation

- XGC is a X-point Gyrokinetic particle in cell code.
- Aims to simulate the edge region of the magnetically confined thermonuclear fusion plasma.
- A module of the WDMApp (Whole Device Modelling Application) of ECP.
- More details and results in Part II: <u>MS341</u>









Performance results: XGC - SpMV

- On A100 with increasing number of matrices in the batch.
- Ell matrix format allows for coalesced access with one thread per row.
- Jumps seen at #compute cores limit boundaries





Batched Preconditioners

- 3 test cases.
 - Scaling with <u>3 point stencil</u>
 - General matrices from <u>Suitesparse</u>.
 - Practical problems from PeleLM
 - Ordered in terms of increasing nnz count.
- Iteration counts shown for different preconditioners

24	size	nonzeros	No Precond	Jacobi	ILU(0)	ParILU	ISAI
1D Laplace							
3pt-stencil-64	64	190	16	11	1	1	6
Suitesparse							
LFAT5	14	46	80	33	7	8	16
bcsstm02	66	66	11	1	1	1	1
LF10	18	82	351		38	34	
$Trefethen_{20}$	20	158	19	8	5	5	6
$_{ m pivtol}$	102	306	16	13	2	2	7
bfwb62	62	342	30	15	6	6	9
olm100	100	396	13-5		36	98	26
bcsstk22	138	696	493	229	43	42	95
cage 6	93	785		12	4	4	7
ck104	104	992	112	118	13	15	164
494 _bus	494	1666			81	81	
mesh3em5	289	1889	14	13	1	1	10
mhdb416	416	2312		37	2	2	41
bcsstk05	153	2423	325	124	32	32	149
steam1	240	3762	13-5		3	3	
PeleLM							
isooctane	144	6135	2 <u></u>	38	3	4	<u>a.</u> 11

15



Iteration counts



FiNE – Fixed point Numerics for Exascale SCC – Steinbuch Centre for Computing



Total solve time

- ILU(0) is the most robust and enables solution for all problems.
- ParILU can win in some cases due to cheaper generation.
- Scalar Jacobi can still be very effective despite large number of iterations.





Are preconditioners useful ? Isooctane problem

- Some variation in iteration counts in problems in a batch.
- Preconditioners can significantly reduce the iteration count.





Summary

- Batched iterative methods and preconditioners can be very beneficial for parallel solution of many small independent problems.
- Reduction of launch overhead and efficient utilization of cache hierarchy crucial.
- Lesser modularity in terms of composability, but significant performance gains possible.



Future work and perspectives

- Banded solvers for banded and multi-diagonal matrices.
 - Optimized storage and using adapted LAPACK dgbsv routines.
- Extensions to monolithic problems by maximizing the cache usage and aiming to cache the matrix in the L2/L3 cache.
 - Has shown promise for medium problems, but larger problems needs more care.



Acknowledgements









Thank you!



https://github.com/ginkgo-project/ginkgo

nayak@kit.edu

FiNE – Fixed point Numerics for Exascale SCC – Steinbuch Centre for Computing



Summary

- Batched iterative methods can be very beneficial for parallel solution of many small independent problems.
- Reduction of launch overhead and efficient utilization of cache hierarchy crucial.
- Lesser modularity in terms of composability, but significant performance gains possible.

https://github.com/ginkgo-project/ginkgo

nayak@kit.edu



Why not Block Diagonal assembly ?

- Need to wait for slowest problem.
- Eigenvalues of the monolithic problems union of the eigenvalues of the individual problems.
- Independent stopping is difficult and may result in divergence.

